Advances Standard of Care in Lung Cancer: A Best of ASCO Atlanta 2012 Update















	CC1	-	CC	Г+	
	mean	SD	mean	SD	р
Age					0.222
median age	61.7	2.7	60.6	3.2	
Gender					0.633
% of female	22.0	12.5	23.8	12.9	
Histology					
% of Squamous cell carcinoma	47.6	9.9	43.7	12.2	0.261
% of Adenocarcinoma	35.6	8.9	36.0	12.5	0.904
Stage					0.665
% of Stage IIIA	35.7	19.2	33.2	18.4	
% of Stage IIIB	63.3	19.3	66.3	18.6	
Performance Status (PS)					0.652
% of PS 0	46.4	25.7	42.9	19.9	
% of PS 1	50.4	21.7	52.9	16.0	
% of PS 2	4.3	7.0	4.4	11.5	
There were no statist	ical differ	ences bet	tween two	groups	i.

	CC	CT-	CC.	T+	
	mean	SD	mean	SD	p
Concurrent phase					
Planned TRT dose (Gy)	62.85	5.99	62.70	3.50	0.958
% of patients who completed TRT	85.65	10.89	89.18	7.66	0.285
% of patients who completed chemotherapies	86.15	13.03	79.16	14.47	0.142
Consolidation phase					
Number of planned CCT cycles	-	-	2.29	0.91	-
Median number of delivered CCT cycles	-	-	1.88	0.90	-
Mean number of delivered CCT cycles	-	-	1.53	0.64	-



	CC	Т-	ССТ	+	
Grade 3-5 toxicities	mean	SD	mean	SD	p
Neutropenia (%)	50.50	28.41	45.36	24.41	0.634
Leukopenia (%)	58.10	33.12	54.70	22.40	0.743
Esophagitis (%)	14.79	14.68	15.97	12.17	0.776
Pneumonitis (%)	7.97	6.93	7.056	7.30	0.674
Treatment-related death (%)	2.30	2.04	1.96	2.68	0.628



Yamamoto et al-My non-ASCO sanctioned Conclusions and Questions

- Analysis of consolidation studies to date fails to provide a compelling rationale either for or against this approach.
- The trials evaluated had a mixture of stages, patient performance status and agents.
- Concurrent chemo-radiotherapy with cisplatin based chemotherapy is the standard approach, with the need to assess consolidation therapy in the context of targeted in terms of genomic driven therapies.
- Consolidation chemotherapy should be tested in a trial with robust accrual numbers, only in responding patients who have an excellent PS at the end of chemo-radiation therapy..







Population- ACOSOG Z4031 study

- "Use of proteomic analysis of serum samples for detection of NSCLC"
- Known or suspected c-Stage I NSCLC
- All underwent surgical resection
 - 2004 to 2006
 - 51 sites in 39 cities
 - 969 eligible participants
 - 80% cancer / 20% benign







Results –	FDG-PET
------------------	----------------

Malignancy	566 (83%)
Accuracy (TP+TN)/N	73%
Sensitivity	82%
Specificity	31%
Positive Predictive Value	85%
Negative Predictive Value	26%





FDG-PET Results by Enrolling Site*					
City	Ν	Sensitivity	Specificity		
Birmingham, AL	111	89	15		
Charlottesville, VA	52	76	33		
Cincinnati, OH	31	73	33		
Durham, NC	41	91	25		
Los Angeles, CA	27	67	44		
Philadelphia, PA	78	85	46		
Pittsburg, PA	68	78	25		
St. Louis, MO	54	68	29		
		p = 0.03	p = 0.72		
* > 25 participants with a FDG-I	PET scan				
		PRESEN	TED AT: ASCO Annual '12 Meeting		

Summary slide

- FDG-PET performed poorly for diagnosing NSCLC in a national sample of c-Stage I patients
 - Sensitivity 82%
 - Specificity 31%
- Majority of false positives were granulomas
- Sensitivity varies by enrolling city
- FDG-PET accuracy improved with lesion size – Accuracy < 50% for < 2cm lesions







Grogan et al-My non-ASCO sanctioned Conclusions and Questions

- Quality of and experience with PET scans vary widely across the US.
- The degree of false negatives and therefore the negative predictive value of PET in stage I NSCLC is disturbing.
- This study further enforces the need for mediastinoscopy in resectable stage lung cancer.
- The interpretations are substantially limited by the fact that this is a secondary analysis without access to the actual PET images.













Patient Demographics

	Total n=219, (%)	Initial Arm n=111, (%)	Delayed Arm n=108, (%)	Р
Age (years)				
Median (range)	61 (39-75)	60 (41-75)	61 (39-75)	
> 60 years	111 (50.7)	53 (47.7)	58 (53.7)	0.4
≤ 60 years	108 (49.3)	58 (52.3)	50 (46.3)	
Sex				0.9
Male	194 (88.6)	98 (88.3)	96 (88.9)	
Female	25 (11.4)	13 (11.7)	12 (11.1)	
Performance status				0.14
ECOG 0	17 (7.8)	12 (10.8)	5 (4.6)	
ECOG 1	201 (91.8)	99 (89.2)	102 (94.4)	
ECOG 2	1 (0.5)	0	1 (0.9)	
Institute				0.8
Samsung Medical Center	112 (51.1)	56 (50.5)	56 (51.9%)	
Asan Medical Center	107 (48.9)	55 (49.5)	52 (48.1%)	

PRESENTED BY

	Initial arm n=111, (%)	Delayed arm n=108, (%)	Р
Completion of EP x 4 & RT 52.5Gy			0.80
Yes	90 (81.1)	89 (82.4)	
No	21 (18.9)	19 (17.6)	
No. of EP chemotherapy			0.49
4 cycles	96 (86.5)	97 (89.8)	
3 cycles	6 (5.4)	3 (2.8)	
2 cycles	7 (6.3)	4 (3.7)	
1 cycle	2 (1.8)	4 (3.7)	
Dose of chemotherapy			0.95
Relative dose intensity	93.5%	93.6%	
Dose of radiotherapy			0.77
52.5Gy	100 (90.1)	96 (88.9)	
< 52.5Gy	11 (9.9)	12 (11.1)	
Mean dose	51.0 Gy	49.5 Gy	0.24
Delivery of radiotherapy			0.91
Uninterrupted RT	100 (90.1)	97 (89.8)	
Major interruption of RT (<47.25Gy, <90%)	6 (5.4)	7 (6.5)	
Minor interruption of RT (≥47.25Gy, ≥90%)	5 (4.5)	4 (3.7)	
Prophylactic cranial irradiation			0.37
Yes	55 (49.5)	60 (55.6)	
No	56 (50.5)	48 (44.4)	

	Initial Arm (n = 111)	Delayed Arm (n = 108)	95% Cl of the difference
CR	40 (36.0%)	41 (38.0%)	(-14.7%, 10.9%)
PR	62 (55.9%)	56 (51.9%)	
SD	4 (3.6%)	4 (3.7%)	
PD	5 (4.5%)	5 (4.6%)	
Unknown	0	2 (1.9%)	
ORR (CR+PR)	91.9%	89.8%	







	Grade 3-4		All adverse events		
	Initial (n =111)	Delayed (n = 108)	Initial (n =111)	Delayed (n = 108)	Р
Non-hematologic					
Nausea	1.9%	0.9%	56.8%	58.3%	0.81
Vomiting	0	0	13.5%	14.8%	0.78
Esophagitis	3.6%	0.9%	45.0%	37.0%	0.23
Constipation	0	0	19.8%	25.9%	0.28
Diarrhea	0	0	2.7%	4.6%	0.45
Sensory neuropathy	0	0	10.8%	18.5%	0.11
Radiation pneumonitis	4.5%	2.8%	80.2%	75.9%	0.53
Hemorrhage	0.9%	0	0.9%	0.9%	0.98
Infection without neutropenia	1.9%	1.9%	4.5%	6.5%	0.52
Hematologic					
Febrile neutropenia*	21.6%	10.2%	21.6%	10.2%	0.02
Neutropenia	70.3%	59.3%	77.5%	67.6%	0.10
Anemia	9.9%	6.5%	30.6%	33.3%	0.67

Post-progression Chemotherapy

	Initia	alarm D	Delayed arm
No. of patients with Progression	66 (1	100%)	71 (100%)
Salvage chemotherapy			
1 line	52 (79%)	48 (68%)
2 lines	20 (30%)	21 (30%)
≥ 3 lines	7 (1	11%)	13 (18%)
P	RESENTED BY:	PRESENTED AT:	ASCO [®] Annual'1 Meetin















	Р	CP	
	(n=102)	(n=103)	
Median age (range)	65 (40-86)	65 (41-90)	
≥70 y (%)	(35.2)	(36.8)	
Male / Female (%)	58.8 / 41.2	63.1 / 36.9	
Stage IIIB / IV (%)	4.9 / 95.1	5.8 / 94.2	
≥5% Weight loss (%)	53.9	51.4	
Histology (%)			
Adenocarcinoma	80.4	81.6	
Squamous cell	10.8	2.9	p=0.123
Unknown	4.9	5.8	
Smoking Status (%)			
Current	10.8	17.5	
Former	66.7	60.2	
Never	22.5	22.3	
Co-Morbidities (%)			
Hypertension	45.1	44.7	

4	
4	4
39%	61%*
5	5
500	500
47 (61%)	30 (39%)
15 🗔	10
15 43	9 26
13	7 7
0	2
4	2
20.6%	44.7%
2%	3.9%
	39% 5 500 47 (61%) 15 15 13 0 4 20.6% 2%

Response Data		
	P (%)	CP (%)
CR	0	2.5
PR	10.5	21.5
SD	42.6	60.8
PD	47.1	15.2
ORR (CR+PR)	10.5	24.0*
33.3% of patients in P and 23.3% in CP were		* P < 0.029
	PRES	ENTED AT: ASCO Annual '12 Meeting

Toxic	ity	
Р		СР
3.9	p=0.066	11.7
0		1.0
1.0	p=0.119	5.8
2.9	p=0.683	1.9
0		2.9
2		1
10.8		5.8
0	p=0.121	3.9*
	P 3.9 0 1.0 2.9 0 10.8	P 9 3.9 p=0.066 0 0 1.0 p=0.119 2.9 p=0.683 0 0 2 0 10.8 p=0.121









[herapy	P (%)	CP (%)
Any	31	29.5
Chemotherapy		
Pemetrexed	3	12
Docetaxel	19	30
Paclitaxel	15	12
Carboplatin	31	15
EGFR TKI	9	12
Dther	17	16
Jnknown	6	3





- These results can be generalized to PS 2 patients with all histological subtypes, using appropriate combination regimens
- Given the magnitude of the benefit, and the immediate applicability of these data to clinical practice, we urge organizations to revise their guidelines
- The research mechanism developed for this trial serves as a model for future investigator-initiated multi-center trials in Brazil and other Latin American countries

Lillenbaum et al-My non-ASCO sanctioned Conclusions and Questions

- In selected PS 2 patients, combination chemotherapy appears superior to single agent chemotherapy.
- How broadly these results can be generalized to PS 2 patients with all histological subtypes, is open to question, as methods of documenting performance status in this trial were not clear.
- It may be reasonable to use appropriate combination regimens in selected Ps1/2 patients with close observation in clinical practice until a confirmatory trial is completed.
- Despite the magnitude of the possible benefit, and the immediate applicability of these data to clinical practice, it may be premature to revise treatment guidelines for PS 2 patients with metastatic NSCLC.