

# PROSTATE CANCER

- 1) Alpharadin (Ra223) in CRPC with bone metastases
- 2) Enzalutamide (MDV-3100) in CRPC and prior docetaxel
- 3) Abiraterone in chemo-naïve CRPC
- 4) Intermittent androgen deprivation in androgen-sensitive PCa

UPDATED ANALYSIS OF THE PHASE III, DOUBLE-BLIND, RANDOMIZED MULTINATIONAL STUDY OF RADIUM-223 CHLORIDE IN CASTRATION-RESISTANT PROSTATE CANCER (CRPC) PATIENTS WITH BONE METASTASES (ALSYMPCA)

> Parker C, et al. LBA4512

### ALPHA PARTICLES AS RADIOPHARMACEUTICALS

- Differ from beta particles in terms of energy, tissue range, linear-energy transfer, and number of DNA hits needed to kill a cell
- Deliver and intense and highly localized radiation dose (range 2-10 cell diameters)
- Double-stranded DNA breaks (does not require cycling cells)
- Less irradiation of healthy bone marrow









#### ALSYMPCA Updated Analysis Patient Demographics and Baseline Characteristics (ITT N = 921)

Parameter	Radium-223 n = 614	Placebo n = 307
Age, y Mean	70.2	70.8
Race, n (%) Caucasian	575 (94)	290 (95)
Baseline ECOG score, n (%) ≤ 1 2	536 (87) 76 (12)	265 (86) 40 (13)
Extent of disease, n (%) < 6 metastases 6–20 metastases > 20 metastases/superscan	100 (16) 262 (43) 249 (41)	38 (12) 147 (48) 121 (40)
WHO ladder, cancer pain index ≥ 2, n (%)	345 (56)	168 (55)











## ALSYMPCA Updated Analysis AEs of Interest

Patients with AEs n, (%)	Radium-223 n = 600	Placebo n = 301	Radium-223 n = 600	Placebo n= 301
Hematologic				
Anemia	187 (31)	92 (31)	77 (13)	39 (13)
Neutropenia	30 (5)	3 (1)	13 (2)	2 (1)
Thrombocytopenia	69 (12)	17 (6)	38 (6)	6 (2)
Non-Hematologic				
Bone pain	300 (50)	187 (62)	125 (21)	77 (26)
Diarrhea	151 (25)	45 (15)	9 (2)	5 (2)
Nausea	213 (36)	104 (35)	10 (2)	5 (2)
Vomiting	111 (19)	41 (14)	10 (2)	7 (2)
Constipation	108 (18)	64 (21)	6 (1)	4 (1)



## CONCLUSIONS: (Mine)

- What "standard of care" is this replacing?
  - samarium? cabazitaxel? bisphosphonates? denosumab?
- Let's wait for additional info
  - Peer-reviewed publication
  - ODAC deliberation
  - Package insert
- That being said, this is a first-in class compound with unexpectedly good results

## PHASE III TRIAL (AFFIRM) OF ENZALUTAMIDE (MDV3100), AN ANDROGEN RECEPTOR SIGNALING INHIBITOR: PRIMARY, SECONDARY, AND QUALITY-OF-LIFE ENDPOINT RESULTS

De Bono J, et al. LBA 4519

















	All Grades		Grade ≥ 3 Events	
	Enzalutamide (n = 800)	Placebo (n = 399)	Enzalutamide (n = 800)	Placebo (n = 399)
Fatigue	33.6%	29.1%	6.3%	7.3%
Cardiac Disorders	6.1%	7.5%	0.9%	2.0%
Myocardial Infarction	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%	0.5%
LFT Abnormalities	1.0%	1.5%	0.4%	0.8%
Seizure	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%

Seizure Cases					
CASE	1	2	3	4	5
Time on Study	2 months	10 months	2 months	5 months	10 months
On study drug?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Off trial drug for 26 days	Yes
Seizure type	Focal onset	Generalized	Complex partial status	Focal onset	Unknown fall not witnessed
Recurrence	No	No	No	No	No
Potential confounding factors	Large 5 x 4 cm temporal lobe brain metastases he): patient also on	IV Lidocaine inadvertentl y given just before seizure* Na+ channel mod	Atrophy and leukoariosis on MRI brain; nil else ulator: propatenone	Multiple CNS metastases: Eye, meninges, (flecarbeline ar	Alcohol excess; started on haloperido 7 days tidysrRVi0Thic)

## CONCLUSIONS

- Enzalutamide, a once a day oral Androgen Receptor Signaling Inhibitor, is well tolerated and prolongs survival in men with CRPC by almost 5 months.
- Enzalutamide improved secondary measures of antitumor activity including health-related quality of life, response, time to SRE and time to disease progression.
- The androgen receptor remains a valid therapeutic target for treating CRPC following chemotherapy.

#### ENZALUTAMIDE – FUTURE DIRECTIONS

- FDA approved 8/31/2012
- Pre-chemotherapy phase III trial has completed accrual – results likely available in 2013
- STRIVE phase III enzalutamide versus bicalutamide as second-line hormonal therapy (both non-metastatic and metastatic) just opening to accrual









#### Phase III Abiraterone Post-Chemotherapy - Results

- 1195 pts (abiraterone 797: placebo 398); median f/u
   12.8 mths: data unblinded at interim analysis
- OS: abiraterone 14.8 mths: placebo 10.9 mths (HR .65 (95% CI 0.54 0.77; P<0.001)</li>
- TT PSA progression: abi 10.2 v placebo 6.6 mths
- PFS: abi 5.6 v placebo 3.6 mths
- PSA RR: abi 29% v placebo 6% (P< 0.001)

deBono JS, et al: NEJM 364:1995-2005, 2011









## Serologic and Clinical Responses

	AA + P (n = 546)	Placebo + P (n = 542)	RR (95% CI)	P Value
PSA decline ≥50%	<b>62</b> %	24%	NA	<0.0001
	N=220	N=218		
RECIST: Defined objective response	36%	16%	2.273 (1.591, 3.247)	<0.0001
Complete response	11%	4%		
Partial response	25%	12%		
Stable disease	61%	69%		
Progressive disease	2%	15%		

	AA + P	Placebo + P		
	Median (months)	Median (months)	HR (95% CI)	P Value
Fime to opiate use (cancer related pain)	NR	23.7	0.69 (0.57, 0.83)	0.0001
Fime to chemotherapy nitiation	25.2	16.8	0.58 (0.49, 0.69)	<0.0001
Time to ECOG PS deterioration	12.3	10.9	0.82 (0.71, 0.94)	0.0053
Fime to PSA progression	11.1	5.6	0.49 (0.42, 0.57)	<0.0001



### INTERMITTENT VERSUS CONTINUOUS ANDROGEN DEPRIVATION IN HORMONE SENSITIVE METASTATIC PROSTATE CANCER PATIENTS: RESULTS OF SWOG 9346 (INT-0162) AN INTERNATIONAL PHASE III TRIAL

Hussain M, et al

#### 4

## BACKGROUND

- Continuous androgen deprivation is the standard approach to advanced disease
- Preclinical data suggested that intermittent therapy might prolong the time to development of castrate resistant state
- Smaller clinical trials have confirmed a better QOL for patients receiving intermittent therapy, but have been underpowered to conclude anything regarding overall survival

### S9346 (INT-0162): Objectives

#### **Primary**

- Determine if survival with IAD is <u>Not Inferior</u> to survival with CAD.
- QOL\*: To compare 3 treatment-specific symptoms (Impotence, Libido, Energy/Vitality) and physical and emotional functioning between arms

#### **Secondary**:

- -More general QOL measures
- -PSA dynamics between arms, and correlations with other endpoints

\*Moinpour et-al, Abstract # 4571 describes results for QOL

## ELIGIBILITY AND STRATIFICATION

Eligibility

- Newly diagnosed metastatic prostate cancer
- PSA ≥ 5 ng/ml
- PS 0-2
- Stratification
  - PS (0-1 v 2)
  - Extent of disease
    - Minimal (spine, pelvis +/- nodal disease)
    - Extensive (ribs, long bones +/- visceral disease)
- Prior hormonal tx

neoadjuvant therapy v finsteride v neither





## **Statistical Methods**

Primary outcome: Survival post-randomization
Hypothesis: "IAD is NOT inferior to CAD"

#### • Design specifications:

- Survival with IAD is not inferior if the 95% confidence interval for the hazard ratio (IAD vs. CAD) excludes
   <u>1.2</u>, α=0.05, power=90%, adjusting for stratification factors in proportional hazards model.
- Assumptions: post-randomization median survival for CAD = 3 years:
  - Sample size: 1500 eligible, randomized patients
  - accrual: 6.25 yrs. + 2 additional yrs. of follow-up.











## **INTERPRETATION**

- Huge debate at the meeting regarding the definitions of minimal v extensive metastatic disease
- Even bigger debate regarding the statistical implications of a therapy being "not noninferior"

## AXIOM #1

- If the results of a trial validate your preexisting bias, then you are willing to overlook some statistical aberrations
- If the results are contrary to your bias, then you attack the statistics unmercifully

## TAKE HOME

- Intermittent androgen deprivation is absolutely superior in terms of QOL
- But, the assumption that survival is equivalent with this approach is not supported by this trial
- A patient may still choose IAD based on QOL issues, but the survival differences observed in this study need to be discussed with the patient